

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/481,043	01/11/2000	RANDALL L. SIMPSON	IL-10127B	5097
7590 05/02/2007 HENRY P SARTORIO DEPUTY LABORATORY COUNSEL FOR PATENTS			EXAMINER	
			FELTON, AILEEN BAKER	
LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY P O BOX 808-L-703		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LIVERMORE, CA 94551			1755	
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/481,043	SIMPSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aileen B. Felton	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Fe</u>	ebruary 2007.					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
·						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,26-38,40,41 and 45</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>26</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,27-38,40,41 and 45</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	- · ·	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Other:						
S Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/481,043

Art Unit: 1755

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 27-38, 40, 41, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Attia (6,080,281) in view of Barnhard, IV et al (4,058,420) and the article from Science and Technology Review.

Attia discloses the use of sol-gel processing to form mixed oxides aerogel. The mixed oxides can be energetic. The particular sol-gel process is not disclosed.

The article from Science and Technology Review (pg 23), teaches the use of a sol-gel process that is less expensive.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the improved sol-gel process as taught by the Science and Technology article with the composition disclosed by Attia since the article suggests that the method is less expensive and also that it forms a better aerogel.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 27-38, 40, 41 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the article from Science and Technology Review.

The article from Science and Technology Review (pg 23), teaches the claimed sol-gel process that is less expensive and uses materials such as carbon.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 26 is allowed.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments are persuasive regarding claim 26 that requires actual energetic materials.

Regarding the remainder of the claims, all of Applicant's claims are drawn to energetic materials which is defined by Applicant in the specification as: "Energetic materials are herein defined as any material which stores chemical energy in a fixed volume." Hence, any material would meet this definition and thus the claim limitation.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aileen B. Felton whose telephone number is 571.272.6875. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:00, except alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571.272.1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/481,043

Art Unit: 1755

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AILEEN FELTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER